Oxidation of organic compounds by *in situ* generated sodium ferrate in presence of Cu NPs adsorbed on Al$_2$O$_3$ under microwave irradiation

Manish Shrivastava*, Anamika Shrivastava

Department of Chemistry, Banasthali University, Banasthali, Rajasthan, India

A B S T R A C T

Admirable yields with selectivity were obtained in the oxidation of anthracene, phenanthrene ethylbenzene, ethylbenzene, cyclopentanol, p-methylcyclohexanol, p-methoxy benzaldehyde and p-hydroxy benzaldehyde with in situ generated sodium ferrate in the presence of Cu NPs adsorbed on Al$_2$O$_3$ under microwave irradiation. Present one pot system does not require tedious separation of ferrate and is quicker and environmentally benign.

1. INTRODUCTION

The oxidation of organic functionalities, generally require rigorous control of the experimental conditions added with their lack of selectivity.[1-4] Oxidants based on chromium [5] and on manganese [6] are corrosive, and they are irritants for the skin and for sensitive body parts such as the eyes. They are violently toxic to man and to the environment. Derivatives of chromium(VI) in particular are well-known carcinogens.[7] Fe(VI) is a powerful oxidizing agent throughout the entire pH range with a reduction potential (Fe(VI)/Fe(III) couple) varying from +2.2 V to +0.7 V versus NHE in acidic and basic solutions, respectively. Fe(VI) is also a selective oxidant for a large number of organic compounds with Fe(III) as a by-product and therefore has a role in cleaner technology for organic synthesis. Other applications of Fe(VI) include production of ferrate(V) by pulse radiolysis, 'super-iron' batteries, in wastewater treatment processes as a disinfectant, antifloculant, and coagulant etc. In aqueous solution, the ferrate dianion FeO$_4^{2-}$, remains monomeric.[8] In basic solution, the rate of decomposition of ferrate is highly variable. pH and temperature are key factors, but light does not affect the stability of ferrate solutions.[9] In dilute solution, the lowest rate of reduction of ferrate by water occurs between pH 9.4 and 9.7.[10]

*Correspondence to:

Manish Srivastava
Department of Chemistry, Banasthali University,
Banasthali, Rajasthan, India
Email:sagermanish1@gmail.com

In strong alkali (3 M or above), ferrate solutions reach another region of stability, thus allowing the preparation and purification of potassium ferrate by the wet method. Main problem with sodium or potassium ferrates is their separation, which requires tedious processes. Probably iron(VI) boosts the oxidizing ability of iron(III), while the presence of a microporous adsorbent of the clay helps the high selectivities. Oxidation of allylic and benzylic alcohols to the corresponding carbonyl compounds using potassium ferrate at room temperature in benzene and aqueous sodium hydroxide in the presence of benzyltriethylammonium chloride has been reported. [11] Another approach involved the recourse to a solid mixture of K$_2$FeO$_4$, basic alumina, and a hydrated inorganic salt such as CuSO$_4$.5H$_2$O for oxidizing allylic and benzylic alcohols dissolved in benzene.[12] Oxidative cleavage of propargyl alcohol derivatives using K$_2$FeO$_4$-Al$_2$O$_3$ [13] has been reported. Conversion of aliphatic and aromatic alcohols including benzyl alcohol to carbonyl compounds by K$_2$FeO$_4$ with K10/Cu^{2+} [14] has also been reported with 62 % GC yield in 24 hours. Indication of the role of the solid support and of the metallic salt within this heterogeneous system came from the study of the oxidation of alcohols by a mixture of KMnO$_4$ and CuSO$_4$.5H$_2$O, in which it was assumed that the salt acts as a source of humidity. [15] Many transition metal ions are known to act as efficient oxidizing agents and a few as catalysts. Potassium ferrate,(iron VI)is known to be a powerful two electron oxidation with an oxidation potential of +2.2V in acid and 0.72 V in base [24].Potassium ferrate,K$_2$FeO$_4$,has been shown

to oxidize organic functionalities [25,26].,to treat wast water [27,28].,and use in removal of arsenic [29].Often the ferrate ion is more selective than the classic permanganate or chromate ions, and can prevent over oxidation of carboxylic acid [25,26].Research with Potassium ferrate has limited by the difficulty in preparing a high quality,high yield product which is cost effective [30,31,14]. *Now a days sodium ferrate is used as oxidant in oxidation of organic compounds [32] and in wast water treatment [33]*

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

For this purpose sodium ferrate was prepared by taking ferric nitrate ($Fe(NO_3)_3.9H_2O$) 2.0 g (4.49 mmol) in a 50 ml flask and the required amount (1-3.5 ml; 14.7 – 51.4 mmol) of sodium hypochlorite solution was added drop-wise with constant stirring. Formation of a clear dark purple-red coloured solution indicates the formation of ferrate dianions.[21] Cu NPs were prepared by borohydride reduction method by adding 10 ml sodium borohydride solution (2.0 mmol) to a solution of $CuSO_4.5H_2O$ (1.0 mmol) with the help of a syringe with constant stirring. Appearance of very fine dark black coloured precipitate indicates formation of Cu NPs in the solution. In a typical oxidation procedure required quantity of Al_2O_3 (4.0 gm) was added to $CuSO_4$ solution and to the vigorously stirred solution; required quantity of sodium borohydride (2.0 mmol) was added drop-wise with the help of a syringe. After completion of the reaction precipitate was filtered under suction and was left overnight at room temperature. Required quantity of organic substrate was adsorbed on the partially dried clay containing Cu NPs. After mixing with freshly prepared sodium ferrate (Na_2FeO_4) solution, the whole mass was then irradiated in a microwave synthesizer for the required time. After exposure, solid mass acidify with 2N H_2SO_4 solution, then extracted with diethyl ether (3 x 30 ml). Extract was evaporated under reduced pressure to afford the product. IR spectra were taken with a Bruker Vector-22 IR spectrophotometer and ^{1}H NMR spectra with a Xeol 400 MHz spectrophotometer in $CDCl_3$ with TMS as internal standard. Purity and identification of products were confirmed by taking m.p. of the product or its 2,4-dinitrophenyl hydrazone derivatives, by running TLC plates with authentic samples and spectral studies.

Identification of organic compounds:
Cyclopentanone(**a'**) was weighed and analyzed in the form of its 2,4-dinitrophenyl hydrazone. Mp. of hydrazone 142 °C (reported 146 °C), ^{1}H NMR δ 11.08 (1 Hs), δ 8.43 (1Hs), δ 7.05-8.46 (2Hm), δ 1.07-3.34(4Hq), δ 1.16-1.68 (4Hq), 2-Methylcyclohexanone (**b'**) was prepared from O-methylcyclohexanol (**b**) (2.0 mmol) as above. Mp.

of hydrazone 136 °C (reported 137 °C); 1H NMR, δ 10.04 (1Hs), δ 9.54 (1Hs), δ 7.65-8.54 (2Hm), δ 1.35-2.85 (9Hm), δ 1.3 0-1.76 (3Hq). Cyclohexanone (**c'**) was prepared from Cyclohexane (**c**) (2.0 mmol) as above. Mp.of hydazone 162 °C (reported 162 °C), 1H NMR, δ 11.10 (1Hs), δ 8.13 (1Hs), δ 7.56-8.41 (2Hm), δ 2.55-2.50 (4Hq), δ 1.78-1.90 (6Hq), Acetophenone (d`)was prepared from Ethylbenzene (d) (2.0mmol) as above. The Mp.of hydrazone 249 °C (reported 250 °C), 1H NMR, δ 11.87 (1Hs), δ 9.66 (1Hs), δ 7.86-8.95 (7Hm), δ 1.75 (3Hs). 9,10-Anthraquinone (e`) was prepared from Anthracene (e) (1.0mmol) as above. The Mp.of product was 285 °C (reported 286 °C), IR ν_{max}.1678cm^{-1}($\nu_{c=o}$),3070cm^{-1}($\nu_{=C-H}$,str.arom.),697cm^{-1},725cm^{-1},872cm^{-1}($\nu_{=C-H}$,bend,arom.).9-Fluorenone (f `) was prepared from Phenanthrene (f) (1.0mmol) as above. The Mp.of product was 84 °C (reported 82-85 °C), 1H NMR, δ 7.84-8.70 (8Hm); IR ν_{max}.1676cm^{-1}($\nu_{c=o}$),3047cm^{-1}($\nu_{=C-H}$,str.arom.),756cm^{-1},866cm^{-1},881cm^{-1}($\nu_{=C-H}$,bend,arom.),1601cm^{-1}($\nu_{c=c}$,str.arom.). 4-Methoxybenzoic acid (g`) was prepared from p-methoxybenzaldehyde (g) (2.0mmol) as above. The Mp.of product was 185 °C (reported 186 °C), IR Vmax.2504 to 3102 cm^{-1} ((ν_{-OH} and $\nu_{C-H stretch}$), 1689 cm^{-1} ($\nu_{C=O}$),1278 cm^{-1}&1166 cm^{-1}(ν_{c-o},str.),1600cm^{-1}($\nu_{c=c}$,str.arom.). 4-Hydroxybenzoic acid (h') was prepared from p- hydroxybenzaldehyde (h) (1.0 mmol) as above. The Mp.of product was 214 °C (reported 215 °C), IR ν_{max}.2506 to 3304 cm^{-1} (broad ν_{-OH} and $\nu_{C-H stretch}$), 1685 cm^{-1} ($\nu_{C=O}$), 1266 cm^{-1}&1152 cm^{-1}(ν_{c-o},str.),3607cm^{-1}(ν_{O-H},str.arom.),1601cm^{-1}($\nu_{c=c}$,str.arom.).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Oxidation of various organic substrates is summarized in Table 1. For getting the maximum yield 5 to 8 sets were performed by changing the concentration or conditions of each component, which can affect the yield. Control experiments were performed by adding organic substrate, pre-adsorbed on Al_2O_3, to the aqueous solution of ferric nitrate (entry 1, Table2) and sodium hypochlorite solution (entry 2, Table2) separately under similar conditions and the paste thus formed was irradiated in microwave oven. Yield increases with increasing power of microwaves (entries 3 and 4, Table 2) apparently due to the availability of more energy required to facilitate the reaction. While increase in time of exposure increases the yield in the beginning reaches to a maximum and beyond which, further increase in time decreases the yield (entries 4, 5 and 6, Table 2). This was probably due to the evaporation of product due to excess heating under prolonged exposure. Yield reaches to a

maximum and then starts decreasing with further increase in the amount of ferric nitrate (entries 7, 4 and 8, Table 2) while yield decreases with increasing amount of sodium hypochlorite (entries 4, and 9, Table 2). Probable reason for this appears to be the decomposition of ferrate ions. It is well known that the decomposition of high-valent oxyanions is catalyzed by traces of impurities [22,23] like the reducing organic materials or metal traces, which may be present in these reactants. This also indicates that optimum conditions are necessary for getting the maximum yield. The charged layered structure of the aluminosilicate solid may provide, a suitable, highly polar environment to adsorb organic substrate and to favour its encounter with ferrate ions in the hydrated interlamellar spaces. It has been suggested [14] that aluminosilicate solid acts as a source of humidity and also displays an intrinsic catalytic activity which is not due to the intervention of strong Brønsted or Lewis acidic centers present within the aluminosilicate structure. Presence of electron donating ($-CH_3, -OCH_3$) or abstracting (-OH) groups decreased or increased the yields respectively, in the usual manner (entries a,b,g &h, Table 1). Interestingly, more probably similar yields were obtained when the reaction was carried out in a water bath under reflux conditions in the absence of Cu NPs and under microwave irradiation in the presence of Cu NPs, the only difference was that in the later method reported yield was obtained in 1.5 to 3.0 min. Solid support, after removing the product formed, can be recycled 2 to 4 time with approximately 5 to 10 % decrease in efficiency in each cycle.

Table 1. Oxidation of various organic compounds (2.0 m mol) with Na$_2$FeO$_4$ adsorbed on Al$_2$O$_3$ in presence of Cu NPs under microwave irradiation a Oxidation at room tempt.(~25 ºC)in 48 h;

Organic substrate (mmol)	Product	Al$_2$O$_3$	Fe(NO$_3$)$_3$.9 H$_2$O(m.mol.)	NaClO (mmol)	MW(% power)	Time (sec.)	%Yield
Cyclopentanol(a)	Cyclopentanone(a`)	4.0	4.95	30.0	100	100	7.8a;29.5b;50.0c;32.1d; 35.0e.
O-Methyl cyclohexanol(b)	O-Methyl cyclohexanone (b`)	4.0	4.95	25.4	100	100	15.2a;37.8b;34.2c;44.2d; 38.9e.
Cyclohexane(c)	Cyclohexanone (c`)	4.0	7.42	45.0	80	120	9.9a;19.9b;21.8c;19.8d; 21.9e.
Ethylbenzene(d)	Acetophenone (d`)	4.0	7.42	35.7	80	120	10.0a;38.3b;39.0c;39.6d; 49.0e.
Anthracene(e)	9,10-Anthra quinone(e`)	4.0	7.42	35.7	60	120	27.0a;62.5b;76.1c;99.1d; 96.9e.
Phenanthrene(f)	9-Flourenone (f`)	4.0	7.42	35.7	60	160	49.4a;69.4b;87.5c;88.1d; 94.4e.
p-methoxy benzaldehyde(g)	p-methoxy benzoic acid(g`)	4.0	4.95	30.4	100	130	5.0a;18.1b;17.7c;19.6d23.e .
p-hydroxy benzaldehyde(h)	4-hydroxy benzoic acid(h`)	4.0	4.95	30.4	100	120	8.0a;75.4b;85.9c;88.9d;94. 2e.

b Oxidation in presence of Al$_2$O$_3$ under MW only; c Oxidation in presence of Cu NPs and Al$_2$O$_3$ under MW condition; d Oxidation in water bath with Al$_2$O$_3$ only in 3 h(a-f),1h(g&h);e Oxidation in water bath with Cu NPs and Al$_2$O$_3$ in 3h(a-f),1h(g&h)

Table 2: Effect of various factors on yield in the formation of Cyclopentanone from Cyclopentanol (2.0 mmol) in the absence of Cu NPs

Entry nos.	Fe(NO$_3$)$_3$. 9H$_2$O (m.mol.)	NaClO (m.mol.)	Al$_2$O$_3$	MW(% Power)	Time (sec)	% yield
1	4.49	-	4.0	20	120	negligible
2	-	26.4	4.0	60	120	negligible
3	4.95	26.4	4.0	80	120	13.7
4	4.95	26.4	4.0	80	120	29.9
5	4.95	30.0	4.0	100	90	18.8
6	4.95	30.0	4.0	100	100	29.5
7	4.45	26.4	4.0	80	120	25.7
8	5.44	26.4	4.0	80	120	27.4
9	4.95	32.3	4.0	80	120	23.9

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this paper.

REFERENCE

[1]. Trakanovsky, W. S., Ed.; Oxidation in Organic Chemistry, Part B.; Academic Press, New York, 1973.

[2]. Trost, B. M., Ed.; Comprehensive Organic Synthesis (Oxidation); Vol. 7. Pergamon: New York, 1991.

[3]. Page, B. J.; Loar, G. W. In Kirk-Othmer Encyclopedia of Chemical Technology, 4th ed.; Wiley: New York, 1988; Vol. 6, pp 263-311.

[4]. Reidies, A. H. In Kirk-Othmer Encyclopedia of Chemical Technology, 3rd ed.; Wiley: New York, 1981; Vol. 14, pp 844-895.

[5]. Nriagu, J. O., Nieboer, E., Eds.; Chromium in the Natural and Human Environments; Wiley: New York, 1988.

[6]. Gritter, R.J.; Dupre, G.D.; Wallace, T.J. Nature **1964**, 202, 179-181:

[7]. House, H.O.; Modern Synthetic Reactions, 2nd ed.; W.A.Benjamin: Mento Park, CA, 1972, pp. 257-291.

[8]. Goff, H.; Murmann, R.K. J.Am.Chem.Soc. **1971**, 93, 6058-6065.

[9]. Wagner, W.F.; Gump, J.R.; Hart, E.N. Anal. Chem. **1952**, 24, 1497-1498.

[10]. Lee, D.G.; Gai, H. Can. J. Chem. **1993**, 71, 1394-1400.

[11]. Kim, K.S.; Chang, Y.K.; Bae, S.K.;Hahn, C.S. Synthesis **1984**, 866-868.

[12]. Kim, K.S.; Song, Y.H.; Lee, N.H.; Hahn, C.S. Tetrahedon Lett. **1986**, 27, 2875-2878.

[13]. Caddick, S.; Murtagh, L.; Weaving, R. Tetrahedron Lett. **1999**, 40, 3655-3656.

[14]. Delaude, L.; Laszlo, P. J. Org. Chem. **1996**, 61, 6360-6370.

[15]. Menger, F.M.; Lee, C. J.Org.Chem. **1979**,44, 3446-3448.

[16]. Kiselev, Y.M.; Kopelev, N.S.; Zavyalova, N.A.; Perfiliev, Y.D.; Kazin, P.F. Russ. J. Inorg. Chem. (Transl. Of Zh.Neorg. Khim.) **1989**, 34, 1250-1253.

[17]. Kopelev, N.S.; Perfiliev, Y.D.; Kiselev, Y.M. J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem. **1992**, 162, 239-251.

[18]. Fieser, M Fieser and Fieser's Reagents for Organic Synthesis, Wiley: New York, 1984: Vol.11, p.237; Vol.12, p.231.

[19]. Cornelis, A.; Laszlo, P. Synthesis **1985**, 909-918.

[20]. Cornelis ,A.; Laszlo, P. Synthesis **1980**, 849-850.

[21]. Delaude, L., Laszlo, P., Lehance, P. Terahedron Lett. **1995**, 36, 8505-8508 and refs. cited therein.

[22]. Veprek-Siska, J.; Ettel, V. Chem. Ind. (London) **1967**, 548-549.

[23]. Ettel, V.; Veprek-Siska, J. Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun. **1969**, 34, 2182-2188.

[24]. Wood,R.H.,J.Am.Chem.Soc.,80, 2038,1958.

[25]. Audette,R.J.,Quail,J.W.,and Smith,P.J.,Tetrahedron Letters,279,1971.

[26]. Schiopescu,A.,Albu,A.,and Sandulescu,D.,Rev.Raum.Chim.,36,65,1 991.

[27]. Deluca,S.J.,Idle,C.N.,and Chao,Water Sci.Technol.,33,119,1996.

[28]. Qin,C.,and Liu,Y.,Jingxi Huagong,14,1,1997.

[29]. Lee,Y.,Um,I-H.,Yoon,J.,Environ.Sci.and Technol.37,24,5750-5756,2003.

[30]. Thomson,G.W.,Ockerman,L.T.,and Schreyer,J.M.,J.Am.Chem.Soc.,73,1379, 1951.

[31]. Williams,D.H.,and Riley,J.T.,Inorg.Chim.Acta.,8,177,1974.

[32]. Tandon,P.K.,Singh,S.B.,and Srivastava,M.,Appl.Organomet.Chem.21, 264-267,2007.

[33]. White,D.A.,Franklin,G.S.,Environ.Technology,19(11),pp.1157-1160,1998.

ABOUT AUTHOR

Dr. Manish Shrivastava is Assistant Professor (Chemistry), Department of Chemistry Banasthali University, Rajasthan. He obtained his Graduation, Post graduation and D. Phill (PhD) degree from University of Allahabad, Allahabad. He has published over 20 research paper in International Journals, eight proceedings in national conference. At present time it is work in field of nanocatalyst, catalyzed organic synthesis and water treatment. At present time six students going to research work under the supervision and two students awarded the PhD degree under the supervision. Dr. Shrivastava presented research paper in numerous International and National conferences.